

Audit Characteristics and Firm Performance: Evidence from NSE-Listed Public Companies in India

Juhendra Debbarma and Chinmoy Roy

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62206/sajm.30.4.2023.30-55>

Abstract

Over the last two decades, the regulators' attention on governance apparatus, precisely audit characteristics, has become a significant agenda that makes any business better prepared to deal with different challenges and respond effectively. With insights from a wide range of research in this area, this study used a multistage technique approach to collect data and explore the influence of audit characteristics on firm performance of high-profile 40 units with 200 firm-year observations for the period from 2018 to 2022 in NSE-listed public companies in India. The empirical findings revealed that though the audit committee has a positive influence on firm performance when measured with an accounting-based dimension, it failed to show any positive effect when measured with a market-based measurement of Tobin's Q. Audit independence has no statistically significant relationship with firm performance in the accounting-based or market-based dimension. The paper further provides that firm size, leverage, and firm age negatively affect firm performance in the accounting-based or market-based measure. Firm growth has a positive significant relationship with market-based measure firm performance, and research and development has a negative sign with market-based measure firm performance. The findings also highlighted that many firms that adhered to the sound standard of governance drivers are less risky and have higher financial growth with increased firm value. Thus, the above findings are robust and imply that firms must prepare the groundwork and adhere to strong corporate governance policies to achieve world-class market and financial performance.

Key Words

Audit characteristics, Firm performance, Tobin's Q, Return on asset, Return on capital employed

Author Biography

Juhendra Debbarma

Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar-799022, Tripura, India; and is the corresponding author. E-mail: juhendebbarma88@gmail.com

Chinmoy Roy

Professor, Department of Commerce, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar-799022, Tripura, India. E-mail: chinmoy68@gmail.com

References

1. Aanu, O. S., Odianonsen, I. F., & Foyeke, O. I. (2014). Effectiveness of audit committee and firm financial performance in Nigeria: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Accounting and Auditing*, 1-11.
2. Abbott, L. J., Parker, S., Peters, G. F., & Raghunandan, K. (2003). The association between audit committee characteristics and audit fees. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 22(2), 17-32.
3. Abdeljawad, I., Oweidat, G. A., & Saleh, N. M. (2020). Audit committee versus other governance mechanisms and the effect of investment opportunities: Evidence from Palestine. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 20(3), 527-544.
4. Adams, R. B., & Ferreira, D. (2007). A theory of friendly boards. *Journal of Finance*, 62(1), 217-250.
5. Agyemang-Mintah, P., & Schadewitz, H. (2018). Audit committee adoption and firm value: evidence from UK financial institutions. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 26(1), 205-226.
6. Aldamen, H., Duncan, K., Kelly, S., Mcnamara, R., & Nagel, S. (2012). Audit committee characteristics and firm performance during the global financial crisis. *Accounting and Finance*, 52(4), 971-1000.
7. Al-Farooque, O., Buachoom, W., & Sun, L. (2020). Board, audit committee, ownership and financial performance—emerging trends from Thailand. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 32(1), 54-81.
8. Al-Homaidi, E. A., Almaqtari, F. A., Ahmad, A., & Tabash, M. I. (2019). Impact of corporate governance mechanisms on financial performance of hotel companies: Empirical evidence from India. *African Journal of Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure*, 8(2), 1-21.
9. . Ali, B. J., & Oudat, M. S. (2020). Financial risk and the financial performance in listed commercial and investment banks in Bahrain Bourse. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 13(12), 160-180.
10. Al-Jalahma, A. (2022). Impact of audit committee characteristics on firm performance: Evidence from Bahrain. *Management*, 20(1), 247-261.
11. Al-Matari, Y. (2022). Audit committee chairman characteristics and corporate performance: Empirical evidence from Saudi Arabia. *Accounting*, 8(1), 47-56.
12. Al-Matari, Y. A., Al-Swidi, A. K., FADZYL, F. H. B. H., & Al-Matari, E. M. (2012). Board of directors, audit committee characteristics and the performance of Saudi Arabia listed companies. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 2(4), 241-251.
13. Almoneef, A., & Samontaray, D. P. (2019). Corporate governance and firm performance in the Saudi banking industry. *Banks and Bank Systems*, 14(1), 147- 158.
14. Al-Okaily, J., & Naueihed, S. (2020). Audit committee effectiveness and family firms: Impact on performance. *Management Decision*, 58(6), 1021-1034.
15. Alqatamin, R. M. (2018). Audit committee effectiveness and company performance: Evidence from Jordan. *Accounting and Finance Research*, 7(2), 48-60.
16. Alzeban, A. (2015). Influence of audit committees on internal audit conformance with

internal audit standards. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 30(6), 539-559.

17. Amer, M., Ragab, A. A., & Shehata, S. E. (2014, June). Audit committee characteristics and firm performance: Evidence from Egyptian listed companies. In *Proceedings of 6th Annual American Business Research Conference*, 9(10), 1-25.
18. Arslan, M., Zaman, R., Malik, R. K., & Mehmood, A. (2014). Impact of CEO duality and audit committee on firm performance: A study of oil & gas listed firms of Pakistan. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 5(17), 2222-2847.
19. Bansal, N., & Sharma, A. K. (2016). Audit committee, corporate governance and firm performance: Empirical evidence from India. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 8(3), 103-116.
20. Basiru, S. K., & Nur, A. M. S. (2015). Audit committee attributes and firm performance: Evidence from Malaysian finance companies. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 23(3), 206-231.
21. Beasley, M. S. (1996). An empirical analysis of the relation between the board of director composition and financial statement fraud. *The Accounting Review*, 71(4), 443-465.
22. Beasley, M. S., Carcello, J. V., Hermanson, D. R., & Lapedes, P. D. (2000). Fraudulent financial reporting: Consideration of industry traits and corporate governance mechanisms. *Accounting Horizons*, 14(4), 441-454.
23. Beasley, M. S., Carcello, J. V., Hermanson, D. R., & Neal, T. L. (2009). The audit committee oversight process. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 26(1), 65-122.
24. Berkman, H., Cole, R. A., & Fu, L. J. (2009). Expropriation through loan guarantees to related parties: Evidence from China. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 33(1), 141-156.
25. Blume, A. (2016). *Functioning of audit committees. Tanzania: Deutsche Gesellschaft für*.
26. Bolton, B. (2014). Audit committee performance: Ownership vs. independence – Did SOX get it wrong? *Accounting and Finance*, 54(1), 83-112.
27. Bouaziz, Z., & Triki, M. (2012). The impact of the presence of audit committees on the financial performance of Tunisian companies. *International Journal of Management & Business Studies*, 2(4), 57-64.
28. Bronson, S. N., Carcello, J. V., Hollingsworth, C. W., & Neal, T. L. (2009). Are fully independent audit committees really necessary? *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 28(4), 265-280.
29. Bronzetti, G., Veltri, S., & Mazzotta, R. (2016). Is there still something to learn about the association of corporate governance with firm performance? *International Journal of Business and Management*, 11(10), 306-316.
30. Burns, J. (2004). Is Sarbanes-Oxley working? *Wall Street Journal*.
31. Chan, K. C., & Li, J. (2008). Audit committee and firm value: Evidence on outside top executives as expert-independent directors. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 16(1), 16-31.
32. Chowdhury, E. K. (2021). Does internal control influence financial performance of commercial banks? Evidence from Bangladesh. *South Asian Journal of Management*, 28(1), 59-77.
33. Christensen, J., Kent, P., & Stewart, J. (2010). Corporate governance and company performance in Australia. *Australian Accounting Review*, 20(4), 372-386.
34. Cohen, J., Krishnamoorthy, G., & Wright, A. M. (2002). Corporate governance and the

audit process. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 19(4), 573-594.

35. Contessotto, C., & Moroney, R. (2014). The association between audit committee effectiveness and audit risk. *Accounting and Finance*, 54(2), 393-418.

36. Core, J. E., Holthausen, R. W., & Larcker, D. F. (1999). Corporate governance, chief executive officer compensation, and firm performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 51(3), 371-406.

37. Dakhllalh, M. M., Rashid, N., Abdullah, W. A. W., & Al Shehab, H. J. (2020). Audit committee and Tobin's Q as a measure of firm performance among Jordanian companies. *Journal of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems*, 12(1), 28-41.

38. Das, R. C., Mishra, C. S., & Rajib, P. (2016). Does earnings management reveal firm specific information? A study in the Indian context. *South Asian Journal of Management*, 23(4), 124-148.

39. Davidson, R., Goodwin-Stewart, J., & Kent, P. (2005). Internal governance structures and earnings management. *Accounting & Finance*, 45(2), 241-267.

40. DeZoort, F. (1997). An investigation of audit committees' oversight responsibilities. *Abacus*, 33(2), 208-227.

41. Dhiman, R., & Sharma, M. (2019). Relation between labour productivity and export competitiveness of Indian textile industry: Co-integration and causality approach. *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective*, 23(1), 22-30.

42. Eissa A. Al-Homaidi, Ebrahim Mohammed Al-Matari, Mosab I. Tabash, Amgad S.D. Khaled and Nabil Ahmed M. Senan (2021). The influence of corporate governance characteristics on profitability of Indian firms: An empirical investigation of firms listed on Bombay Stock Exchange. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, 18(1), 114-125.

43. Erasmus, L., & Coetzee, P. (2018). Drivers of stakeholders' view of internal audit effectiveness: Management versus audit committee. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 33(1), 90-114.

44. Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 26(2), 301-325.

45. Fan, J. P., & Wong, T. J. (2005). Do external auditors perform a corporate governance role in emerging markets? Evidence from East Asia. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 43(1), 35-72.

46. . Foong, S. S., & Lim, K. P. (2016). Stock market liberalisation and cost of equity: Firm-level evidence from Malaysia. *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 12(1), 19-42.

47. Ghaemi, F., Moradi, Z., & Alavi, G. H. (2020). The effect of audit committee characteristics on internal controls and earnings management. *The Financial Accounting and Auditing Researches*, 259-280.

48. Goyal, J., Singh, H., Kaur, H., & Singh, K. (2018). Intra-industry efficiency analysis of Indian textile industry: A meta-frontier DEA approach. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 60(6), 1448-1469.

49. . Guest, P. M. (2009). The impact of board size on firm performance: Evidence from the UK. *The European Journal of Finance*, 15(4), 385-404.

50. Gulzar, I., Haque, S. I., & Khan, T. (2020). Corporate governance and firm performance in Indian textile companies: Evidence from NSE 500. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, 13(2), 210-226.

51. Hansmann, H. and Kraakman, R. (2004). Agency problems and legal strategies. In Kraakman, R., Davies, P. Hansmann, H. Hertig, G. Hopt, K. Kanda H. and Rock E. (eds.), *The Anatomy of Corporate Law: A Comparative and Functional Approach* (pp. 21-31). Oxford University Press.
52. Hasballah, H.S., & Ilyas, F. (2019). The substitution role of audit committee effectiveness and audit quality in explaining audit report lag. *Acc. Fin. Review*, 4(1), 28-37.
53. Herdjiono, I., & Sari, I. M. (2017). The effect of corporate governance on the performance of a company. Some empirical findings from Indonesia. *Central European Management Journal*, 25(1), 33-52.
54. Hillman, A. J., & Dalziel, T. (2003). Boards of directors and firm performance: Integrating agency and resource dependence perspectives. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(3), 383-396.
55. Jackling, B., & Johl, S. (2009). Board structure and firm performance: Evidence from India's top companies. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 17(4), 492-509.
56. Jemison, D. B., & Oakley, R. A. (1983). Corporate governance in mutual insurance companies. *Journal of Business Research*, 11(4), 501-521.
57. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360.
58. Kalsie, A., & Shrivastav, S. M. (2016). Analysis of board size and firm performance: Evidence from NSE companies using panel data approach. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, 9(2), 148-172.
59. Kang, W.S., Kilgore, A., & Wright, S. (2011). The effectiveness of audit committees for low and midcap firms. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 26(7), 623-650.
60. Kholeif, A. (2008). CEO duality and accounting-based performance in Egyptian listed companies: A re-examination of agency theory predictions. Research Working Paper. No. 08/07. School of Accounting, Finance and Management. University of Essex.
61. Khosa, A. (2017). Independent directors and firm value of group & affiliated firms. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 25(2), 217-236.
62. Kipkoech, S. R., & Rono, L. (2016). Audit committee size, experience and firm financial performance. Evidence Nairobi securities exchange, Kenya. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 7(15), 87-95.
63. Klein, A. (1998). Firm performance and board committee structure. *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 41(1), 275-304.
64. Klein, A. (2002). Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings management. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 33(3), 375-400.
65. Klein, P., Shapiro, D., & Young, J. (2005). Corporate governance, family ownership and firm value: The Canadian evidence. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 13(6), 769-784.
66. Kren, L., & Kerr, J. L. (1997). The effects of outside directors and board shareholdings on the relation between chief executive compensation and firm performance. *Accounting and Business Research*, 27(4), 297-309.
67. Mahmoud, O. (2016). Managerial judgement versus financial techniques in investment decisions: An empirical study on the Syrian coastal region firms. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Management*, 3(3), 4-31.

68. Mishra, A.K., Jain, S., & Manogna. R.L. (2020). Does corporate governance characteristics influence firm performance in India? Empirical evidence using dynamic panel data analysis. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 18(1), 71-82.
69. Mohammed, A. M. (2018). The impact of audit committee characteristics on firm performance: Evidence from Jordan. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 22(5), 1-7.
70. Mohiuddin, M., & Karbhari, Y. (2010). Audit committee effectiveness: A critical literature review. *AIUB Journal of Business and Economics*, 9(1), 97-125.
71. Nawafly, A. T. M., & Alarussi, A. S. A. (2018). Board of directors, audit committee and the financial performance of listed companies in Malaysia. *Journal of Social Sciences Research*, 951-957.
72. Ouattara, B.S. (2017). Re-examining stock market integration among BRICS countries. *Eurasian Journal of Economics and Finance*, 5(3), 109-132.
73. Patel, M. A. (2018). Corporate governance and financial performance in an emerging economy context: Evidence from Pakistan's food, personal care and cement sectors. *South Asian Journal of Management*, 25(1), 7-22.
74. Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (2003). *The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective*. Stanford University Press.
75. Pincus, K., Rusbarsky, M., & Wong, J. (1989). Voluntary formation of corporate audit committees among NASDAQ firms. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 8(4), 239-265.
76. Piot, C., & Janin, R. (2007). External auditors, audit committees and earnings management in France. *European Accounting Review*, 16(2), 429-454.
77. Qeshtaa, M., & Ali, B.J., (2020). The moderating effect of the effectiveness of the audit committee between ownership concentration and intellectual capital disclosures among companies in gulf co-operation council. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(1), 5979-5986.
78. Rahman, M. M., Meah, M. R., & Chaudhory, N. U. (2019). The impact of audit characteristics on firm performance: An empirical study from an emerging economy. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 6(1), 59-69.
79. Rahman, R. A., & Ali, F. H. M. (2006). Board, audit committee, culture and earnings management: Malaysian evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 21(7), 783-804.
80. Reitz, H. J. (1979). Review of the external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective by J. Pfeffer & G. R. Salancik. *The Academy of Management Review*, 4(2), 309-310.
81. Rezaee, Z. (2008). *Corporate governance and ethics*. John Wiley & Sons.
82. . Sehrawat, N. K., Singh, S., & Kumar, A. (2020). Does corporate governance affect financial performance of firms? A large sample evidence from India. *Business Strategy & Development*, 3(4), 615-625.
83. Shamsuddin, A. B., & Alshahri, G. M. (2022). The effect of audit committee characteristics on firm performance: Evidence from non-financial sectors in Oman. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 12(9), 816-836.
84. Spira, L. F. (2003). Audit committees: Begging the question? *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 11(3), 180-188.
85. Tricker, R. I. (2012). *Corporate governance: Principles, policies and practices*, 2nd Edition, Oxford University Press.

86. Vafeas, N. (1999). Board meeting frequency and firm performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 53(1), 113-142.
87. Vinjamury, R. S. (2020, November). Corporate board subcommittees and firm performance: Evidence from India. In *Financial Issues in Emerging Economies: Special Issue Including Selected Papers from II International Conference on Economics and Finance, 2019*, Bengaluru, India.
88. Warrad, L., & Khaddam, L. (2020). The effect of corporate governance characteristics on the performance of Jordanian banks. *Accounting*, 6(2), 117-126.
89. Wilbanks, R. M., Hermanson, D. R., & Sharma, V. D. (2017). Audit committee oversight of fraud risk: The role of social ties, professional ties, and governance characteristics. *Accounting Horizons*, 31(3), 21-38.
90. Wild, J. J. (1996). The audit committee and earnings quality. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 11(2), 247-276.
91. Xie, B., Davidson III, W. N., & DaDalt, P. J. (2003). Earnings management and corporate governance: The role of the board and the audit committee. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 9(3), 295-316.
92. Yameen, M., Farhan, N. H., Tabash, M. I. (2019). The impact of corporate governance practices on firm's performance: An empirical evidence from Indian tourism sector. *Journal of International Studies*, 12(1), 208-228.
93. Zhou, H., Owusu-Ansah, S., & Maggina, A. (2018). Board of directors, audit committee, and firm performance: Evidence from Greece. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 31(2), 20-36.
94. Zraiq, M., & Fadzil, F. (2018). The impact of audit committee characteristics on firm performance: Evidence from Jordan. *Scholar Journal of Applied Sciences and Research*, 1(5), 39-42.